

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/758,872	01/11/2001	Eugene Wang	3284.1	9771
22000	7590 09/26/2002		EXAM	NER .
AFFYMETRIX, INC ATTN: CHIEF IP COUNSEL, LEGAL DEPT. 3380 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY			MARTINELL, JAMES	
	RA, CA 95051		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/758,872	WANG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		James Martinell	1631	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE - Extermited after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to a limit the statutory minimum of thirty (30) did to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133).	
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	his action is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims	wance except for formal matters, per <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappı	roved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	, ,		
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1.	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).	
	The translation of the foreign language polycenses Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			
Attachment				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4	

Application/Control Number: 09/758,872

Art Unit: 1631

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

Page 2

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01. Hyperlinks and/or other forms of browser-executable code are found in at least the following locations:

- (a) page 14, line 5 and
- (b) page 20, lines 16-17.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are vague, indefinite, and incomplete.

- (a) The recitation of "the hybridization" (claims 1, 13, 18, 30, 35, and 47) is incomplete because there is no antecedent basis for a hybridization assay or step.
- (b) The recitation of "relative allele signal" (claims 6, 23, and 40) is vague and indefinite because the term is not defined in the application and the term has no recognized definition in the art.
- (c) Claim 31 is vague and indefinite because it depends from itself.
- (d) Claim 42 is vague and indefinite because it depends from itself.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6, 13-23, and 30-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (Science 280: 1077 (1998)) in view of Sapolsky et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,858,659). Wang et al teaches the genotyping of SNPs using probes immobilized probes in a nucleic acid molecular hybridization assay (*e.g.* see abstract). Wang et al also teaches the analysis of alleles (page 1077, column 3), homozygotes and heterozygotes (page 1078, columns 2 and 3 and Figure 3), and the use of a genotyping algorithm (pages 1080-1081). Sapolsky et al teaches the use of computer methods to analyze nucleic acid molecular hybridization data (*e.g.* see columns 6-10 and Appendix A and applicants' admitted state of the prior art (instant application, page 1, lines 23-26). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the computer methods of Sapolsky et al to the assays of Wang et al in order to analyze data more efficiently than by manual means.

Claims 7-12 and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (Science 280: 1077 (1998)) in view of Sapolsky et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,858,659) as applied to claims 1-6, 13-23, and 30-51 above, and further in view of Lockhart et al (Nature Biotechnology 14: 1675

Application/Control Number: 09/758,872

Art Unit: 1631

(1996)). Lockhart et al teaches nucleic acid molecular hybridization assays using high density

immobilized probes (DNA chips) within the ranges required by the claims. For example, see page 1675,

column 1 which discloses probe densities of 16,000 per 1.6 cm² (10,000 probes per cm²) and 65,000

Page 4

probes per 1.6 cm² (40,625 probes per cm²). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time the invention was made to use the high density DNA chips of Lockhart et al in the method

discussed in the previous rejection in order to analyze more sequence data in a given hybridization trial.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to James Martinell whose telephone number is (703) 308-0296. The fax phone number for

Examiner Martinell's desktop workstation is (703) 746-5162. The examiner works a flexible schedule and

can be reached by phone and voice mail. Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be e-

mailed to <u>james.martinell@uspto.gov</u>. Since e-mail communications may not be secure, it is suggested

that information in such requests be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 305-4028. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

James Martinell, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1631

n758872a